

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/615,541 07/07/2003 Henry Tien Lo 8268 **EXAMINER** 7590 07/01/2005 HENRY TIEN LO LAYNO, BENJAMIN #32 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **5010 INDIAN RIVER DRIVE** LAS VEGAS, NV 89103 3711

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~
,
"

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/615,541	LO, HENRY TIEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Benjamin H. Layno	3711		

	Benjamin H. Layno	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	insideration and/or search (see NO	TE Delow);	•			
			. 4b - : 6			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected craims.				
		I' 4 A I 4	(DTOL SOA)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	will not be entered, or b) worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	ut before or on the date of filing - h	Jetica of Ammael will m				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allows	ince hocause:			
<u>-</u>			nce decause.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other: New Marine 11.						
•	Bee	Danis min 11 1	an			
	V	Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3711				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The steps of "placing a primary bet per round of play", "placing an optional bonus bet wer per said round of play"m "no additional bets are permitted", the steps (f)-(h) in claims 1, 8, and step (e) in claim 15 raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Behjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner